Exam No.

Fall 2018 Professor Alexander

## UNIVERSITY OF SAN DIEGO SCHOOL OF LAW

## CRIMINAL LAW

## <u>Mid-Term</u> Time: 75 minutes This is an OPEN BOOK examination.

Mel lives in Texarkana, Arkansas. He is poor, illiterate, and a heroin addict. He doesn't read books, newspapers, or magazines, nor does he watch anything on TV but entertainment shows. He occasionally hears news items on his radio, which is always tuned to an FM rock station, but that is the only source of news he has except for what he hears from his friends, mainly other addicts.

Texarkana is on the Texas-Arkansas border, and half the town is in fact in Texas. In response to the spread of AIDS in the state, the Texas legislature has enacted the following criminal statute:

- (1) It is a felony for one infected with the AIDS virus to give any hypodermic needle that has penetrated his or her skin to any other person for that other person's use as a hypodermic needle.
- (2) It is an aggravated felony if violation (1) causes the person to whom the needle is given to contract AIDS within five years.
- (3) It is second degree murder if the victim in (2) dies from AIDS within five years of the violation of (1).
- (4) It shall be conclusively presumed that, where
   (1) is violated and the victim contracts AIDS
   within five years, the violation of (1) caused
   the victim's contracting AIDS.

Mel is unaware of these Texas statutes. Indeed, Mel is completely ignorant when it comes to AIDS. He does not know its nature, how it is spread, or its symptoms.

1

Mel has not been feeling well lately, and his symptoms are those typical of AIDS, which in fact he has contracted. He believes he just has a "bug," however, and he does not seek medical attention because he dislikes going to doctors, he wants to save what little money he has for more heroin, and he is afraid a doctor will spot his addiction and hassle him about it.

Mel obtains some heroin and injects it. The heroin is quite strong and induces an altered state of consciousness, dreamlike in nature. In this state of consciousness, Mel wanders across the state line into the Texas portion of Texarkana, though he cannot remember doing so.

Once in Texas (though unaware that he has left Arkansas), Mel comes upon other addicts. They ask him to share his needle with them, the one he had just used earlier. He does so.

One of the Texas addicts is Del, a prostitute. She offers to have sex with him in exchange for some heroin he still has. He gives her the heroin and his needle, and she injects it. They then have sexual intercourse. Heterosexual sexual intercourse is also a way that AIDS can be spread, though sharing needles is slightly more dangerous. Del is aware of the facts about how AIDS is spread, but she is willing to take the risks because of her craving for heroin.

About a year later, Del comes down with AIDS. In response to questioning by Texas public authorities, she relates the story of her encounter with Mel. Mel also has now been diagnosed with AIDS in Arkansas, and a check with the Arkansas Department of Public Health reveals to Texas authorities that Mel probably gave an AIDS contaminated needle to Del. Mel cannot remember any of the events in question because of the strong high he was on at the time.

Mel is charged in Texas with violations of (1) and (2). While the charges are pending, Del dies in a Texas hospital of a highly contagious viral disease that several patients brought into the hospital environment, and which the hospital authorities failed to diagnose in time because of a shortage of medical personnel due to budget cuts. The disease is not usually fatal, but Del was quite weak due to AIDS. After Del dies, the Texas prosecutor adds a charge of violating (3) to the other charges.

Texas (let us assume) follows the MPC in every respect. And it is, of course, subject to the limitations of the U.S. Constitution.

You are assisting the Public Defender assigned to Mel's case. The Public Defender asks you to write her a memo listing all of the issues (including mistake of law issues) (that are from the first ten class sessions of your course) that the defense might use to defeat the prosecution, how exactly the facts give rise to these issues, what "the law" on these issues is, how the law applies to the facts, and the basic policy considerations that lie in the background of these issues. She has given you 75 minutes to read Mel's file and write the memo. She also wants you to tell her how your answers would differ if Texas did not have MPC § 2.02(3) but followed the MPC in all other respects. Good luck!

3

IC OF CRIMINAL LAN defenses) н SCКЕМАТ ( ир то OKE PAGE

.

2

.

(1) Backward looking (moral desert);  2

(r) rehabilitation, outling costs of portional putalmant	Lemility Fair Motica (1) no common law crimes (2) no retroactive laws (2) no furresconable" vagueness (3) no "urresconable" vagueness (3) no "urresconable" vagueness (3) faitation (b) fambart	Chimation: (1) conduct needs to be necessary cause of result what if no necessary, but >1 sufficient, causes? (2) conduct must be proximete cause of result what if (a) strange causal connection, (b) intervening acts?	They (need concurrence of nertral states and conduct) along of non-gonal latts, not on detendant to prove indict to prove mantage are treated according to the mental indict to prove mantage are treated according to the mental we birden of production. (*) Monitoria at that incu "unjustifiable" (*) Monitoria (*) M
how measure? (2) Furvard looking: determent, incapacitation, transbilitation, outling costs of detection, trials (3) Mixed theories (4) Problem of proportional punishmant	<ul> <li>Burden of Broof:</li> <li>(1) Elements must be proved beyond reascrable doubt by plaintiff</li> <li>(2) Can choose to eliminate elements and convert the need rational connection them to defenses, with RP on defendant, the need rational doubt by voluncary act and proportional needed to be true beyond reascrable doubt punlishment requirements</li> <li>(3) Can choose to eliminate elements and convert the needed to be true beyond reasonable doubt punlishment requirements</li> <li>(3) Can choose to eliminate elements and convert the needed to be true beyond reasonable doubt punlishment requirements</li> <li>(3) Can choose to eliminate elements and convert the needed to be true beyond reasonable doubt punlishment requirements</li> <li>(3) Can choose to eliminate elements and convert the needed to be true beyond reasonable doubt the needed to be true proved to be true proved to be true to the needed to be t</li></ul>	Conduct: Must be voluntary "act" or Scatission       Elements of Crimes:         (1) what is "act"?       Scatission         (2) can it be merial?       Scatission         (3) can it be merial?       Scatission         (1) what connection between will and body?       Dordact         (3) was connection between will and body?       Dordact         (4) reflexes, altered states of consciousness       Condact         (5) no status crimes       Condact is states of consciousness         (5) no status crimes       Condact is states         (5) no status crimes       Condact is states         (6) the framing problem when conduct is states       Condact         (7) B/P always on plaintiff, but not burden of production       Circumstance         (7) B/P always on plaintiff, but not burden of production       Circumstance         (3) possession = act or calssion       Circumstance         (3) possession = act or calssion       Circumstance         (3) ouissions - punderine?       Circumstance         (10) ouissions - punderine?       Cinposed by status	<ul> <li>(1) Burnes</li> <li>(1) Burnes</li> <li>(1) Burnes</li> <li>(1) Burnes</li> <li>(1) Burnes</li> <li>(1) Burnes</li> <li>(2) Bradetire</li> <li>(3) Can be incorrected in the burden of production.</li> <li>(4) Maniforma</li> <li>(5) Maniforma</li> <li>(5) Maniforma</li> <li>(6) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(8) Maniforma</li> <li>(9) Minutured inter-</li> <li>(1) Burnes</li> <li>(2) Maniforma</li> <li>(3) Minutured inter-</li> <li>(4) Maniforma</li> <li>(5) Maniforma</li> <li>(6) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(9) Minutured inter-</li> <li>(1) Burnes</li> <li>(2) Maniforma</li> <li>(3) Minutured inter-</li> <li>(4) Lanufured inter-</li> <li>(5) Maniforma</li> <li>(5) Maniforma</li> <li>(6) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(8) Maniforma</li> <li>(9) Maniforma</li> <li>(9) Maniforma</li> <li>(1) Can be and candidate</li> <li>(2) Maniforma</li> <li>(3) Minutured interce</li> <li>(4) Maniforma</li> <li>(5) Strict Hability</li> <li>(5) Strict Hability</li> <li>(6) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(8) Maniforma</li> <li>(9) Maniforma</li> <li>(9) Maniforma</li> <li>(1) Maniforma</li> <li>(1) Maniforma</li> <li>(1) Maniforma</li> <li>(2) Maniforma</li> <li>(3) Maniforma</li> <li>(4) Maniforma</li> <li>(5) Strict Hability</li> <li>(5) Strict Maniforma</li> <li>(6) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(8) Maniforma</li> <li>(9) Maniforma</li> <li>(9) Maniforma</li> <li>(1) Maniforma</li> <li>(1) Maniforma</li> <li>(2) Maniforma</li> <li>(3) Maniforma</li> <li>(4) Maniforma</li> <li>(5) Strict Hability</li> <li>(6) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(7) Maniforma</li> <li>(8) Maniforma</li> <li>(9) Ma</li></ul>

4